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Summary

CAREX is an international information system on occupational exposure to known and suspected carcinogens. The CAREX (CARcinogen EXposure) database, constructed with support from the Europe Against Cancer program of the European Union (EU), provides selected exposure data and documented estimates of the number of exposed workers by country, carcinogen, and industry. CAREX includes data on 139 agents evaluated by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (all agents in Groups 1 and 2A, and selected agents in Group 2B), displayed across the 55 industrial classes of the United Nations system (ISIC Revision 2). The 1990-93 occupational exposure to these carcinogens was estimated for the fifteen countries of the EU in two phases. First, estimates were generated automatically by the CAREX system on the basis of national workforce data and exposure prevalence estimates from two reference countries (the United States and Finland). These estimates are adjusted for the economic structure (workforce distribution) of each country individually, but do not take into account country-specific exposure patterns which may deviate from those of the reference countries. For selected countries, these estimates were then refined by national experts in view of similarity/dissimilarity to the perceived exposure patterns in their own countries. The results presented in this report are based solely on estimates generated by the CAREX system.

According to the preliminary estimates, there were ca. 3.1 million workers (25% of the employed) exposed to the IARC agents covered by CAREX. The number of exposures was 4.0 million. The most common exposures were solar radiation (1 100 000 workers exposed at least 75% of working time), environmental tobacco smoke (700 000 workers exposed at least 75% of working time), crystalline silica (400 000 exposed), wood dust (400 000), radon and its decay products (280 000), diesel engine exhaust (270 000), lead and inorganic lead compounds (100 000), glasswool (90 000), benzene (90 000), and ethylene dibromide (80 000).
The 'Europe Against Cancer' program of the European Union (EU) prompted a project on the estimation of the burden of occupational cancer in Europe, which includes a component on occupational exposure to carcinogens. This substudy is aimed at estimating the number of workers exposed to major known and suspected carcinogens in the EU by specific carcinogen, country and industry.

The review of available literature, including the Monographs of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), indicated that direct estimates on numbers of exposed workers were usually not available. Therefore, it was obvious that most of the estimates would have to be derived indirectly by professional judgement, on the basis of available published and unpublished information on workers exposed to carcinogens.

An international group of experts on carcinogen exposure was summoned to a meeting to plan the estimation procedure. After the initial meeting, a first version of exposure information system called CAREX (from Carcinogen Exposure) was constructed by the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health (FIOH) to support the estimation process. CAREX was tested and further developed in another meeting of experts. Because knowledge on national exposures is essential in the estimation process, additional experts from different countries were identified and called to participate to the project. The following scientists have contributed significantly to the planning, system design, data collection or assessment of exposure in the CAREX system:

- Dr Manolis Kogevinas, Greece, Spain - leader of the project, planning coordinator, planning, assessment
- Dr Timo Kauppinen, Finland - planning, system design
- Mr Jouni Toikkanen, Finland - planning, US data, conversions
- Dr David Pedersen, USA - US data, computing
- Mr Randy Young, USA - Finnish data, computing
- Ms Anja Savela, Finland - planning, assessment
- Dr Hans Kromhout, the Netherlands - planning, assessment
- Dr Jeronimo Maqueda Blasco, Spain - planning, assessment
- Dr Victoria de la Orden-Rivera, Spain - assessment
- Dr Wolfgang Ahrens, Germany - planning, assessment
- Dr Dario Mirabelli, Italy - planning, assessment
- Mr Raymond Vincent, France - planning, assessment

Carex report of Spain
Dr Nils Plato  Sweden  planning, assessment
Dr Brian Pannett  United Kingdom  planning, assessment
Dr Johnni Hansen  Denmark  assessment
Dr Hendrik Veulemans  Belgium  assessment
Dr Paolo Boffetta  IARC  planning

Only a small part of the CAREX documentation (which includes definitions, subindustrial estimates, descriptive information, results of exposure measurements, labour force data, and bibliographic references) could be included in this report.

A diskette copy of CAREX is available from FIOH upon request (Dr Timo Kauppinen, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Topeliuksenkatu 41aA, FIN-00250 Helsinki, FINLAND, FAX 358-9-2414634). It is planned to make the CAREX data available also on the Internet.

This report which presents the preliminary Spanish results is available upon request from Dr. Jeronimo Maqueda Blasco, Institut Nacional de Seguridad e Higiene en el Trabajo, c/ Torrelaguna 73, 28027 Madrid, Spain.

This project was partially financed by the EU from the 'Europe Against Cancer' program.
Material and methods

Overview of the assessment method and data included

The assessment procedure included several main phases:

- definition of agents and occupational exposure
- definition of industries and collection of labour force data
- collection of exposure measurement data and descriptive exposure data
- generation of default estimates of exposures by the CAREX system
- generation of final estimates of exposures by national experts
- estimation of multiple exposures

The majority of agents were assessed according to a detailed industry-specific ('long') procedure which involves stratification by industry. The assessment of a few agents followed a country-specific ('short') procedure which provides only one figure of the exposed workers per country. For example, some medical treatments were assessed according to this procedure. Carcinogenic exposure circumstances evaluated by IARC were only briefly described. No assessment was appropriate or feasible for some of the agents (betel quid, some viruses, salted fish, etc) exposure to which is not primarily occupational. They were included in the database but the number of occupationally exposed workers was assumed to be zero or unknown. Only results from the industry-specific estimations are presented in this report because data from other procedures was very incomplete.

To support the estimation and to document the basis for estimates, a CAREX exposure information system was designed and constructed. It is based on the Microsoft Access 2 database which can be run on personal computers.

Our preference was to use original national estimates on carcinogenic exposures, but their poor availability forced us to adopt an approach where most figures were derived indirectly on the basis of information from two reference countries with reasonably comprehensive data (Finland and the United States). The calculation of these first estimates started from direct exposure data retrieved from the Finnish SUTKEA (Anttila et al 1992), FINJEM (Kauppinen et al, in press) and ASA databases (Kauppinen et al 1990), and from US NOES database (Greife et al 1995, Seta et al 1988, Sieber 1990). After conversion of the Finnish and US industrial classifications to ISIC Rev 2 of UN (1968) format, the numbers of workers exposed to agents under study were listed by industry. The absolute figures were converted to exposure frequencies (prevalences) by dividing them by the employed labour force of the industry concerned. The prevalence considered to be most valid, which was often the
mean of the US and Finnish prevalences, was then multiplied by the number
of employed in the industry of the country to be assessed. The resulting
estimates generated by the CAREX system were used as first (preliminary)
estimates of the numbers of the exposed workers in Spain.

Knowledge on multiple exposure to agents covered by CAREX is needed when
data are summed to obtain the total number of the exposed workers in an
industry or in a country. Multiple exposure to the CAREX agents was estimated
in Finland and the Finnish values were applied also to other EU countries.

Agents and occupational exposure

Agents covered

The selection of agents was carried out by the project group in its first meeting
in March 1995. CAREX includes all agents, groups of agents and mixtures
which the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) had classified
to group 1 (carcinogenic to humans) and group 2A (probably carcinogenic to
humans) as of February 1995. Selected agents from group 2B (possibly
carcinogenic to humans) were also included. In addition, ionising radiation was
included because, although not evaluated by IARC, there is sufficient evidence
of its carcinogenicity to humans. Appendix 4 of this report lists major agents
which were assessed according to the industry-specific procedure.

Some of the group 1 or 2A agents are chemically polycyclic aromatic
hydrocarbons (PAHs) or their mixtures, and they were merged under that title.
PAHs include coal-tar pitches, coal-tars, untreated and mildly-treated mineral
oils, shale-oils, soots and creosotes, as well as benzo(a)pyrene and other
probably carcinogenic PAH-compounds. The reason for this regrouping is that
PAHs almost always occur in occupational setting as complex mixtures and
exposure to a single PAH is impossible to distinguish. However, tobacco smoke
(passive exposure at work) and diesel exhaust, while recognised also as complex
mixtures containing PAHs, were assessed separately.

Definition of occupational exposure

The definition of exposure in the documentation of CAREX provides the
relevant routes of exposure (inhalatory, dermal or both of them) and the
nonoccupational background level, which was used as the minimum criterion of
occupational exposure. If the background level was assumed to be negligible, it
was not reported numerically. If a CAREX agent was a group or otherwise
unspecific, the definition listed the most common agents included. In some
cases the definition also noted inclusions or exclusions of 'borderline' exposures
and national deviations from the general definition.
Industry specific estimation procedure

Characterisation of industry and labour force

The numbers of exposures in CAREX were estimated for industrial classes (CAREX industries) at the 3-digit level of United Nations ISIC Revision 2 (1968). For some non-manufacturing sectors, 1- or 2-digit levels were used as the assessment level. The industrial classes with the labour force data are presented in Appendix 1.

Labour force information was necessary for the estimation of exposed workers. The number of employed persons used in the calculation was the mean number of employed in 1990-93. As far as possible, we tried to include all employed in the industry covering salaried workers, self-employed, working family members and part-time workers. However, labour force information in the EU countries was heterogeneous and incomplete.

The major source of labour force data was Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) which has collected industrial structure and workforce statistics uniformly according to the ISIC Rev 2 classification since the late 1960s. The manufacturing industry and mining are divided up into 76 sectors at the 3-digit level. Selected industries data are also at the 4-digit level, if available. Non-manufacturing sectors are reported only at the 1-digit level in the OECD statistics which was not accurate enough for the CAREX purposes. Therefore, workforce data by Nomenclature Général des Activités Economiques dans les Communautés Européennes (NACE) Revision 1 (1993) of EUROSTAT, which are available at the 2-digit level (60 classes) and available national statistics were also used to derive the 1- or 2-digit level workforce figures needed for the non-industrial ISIC-sectors of CAREX.

Estimation procedure

CAREX includes internal routines which calculated some guiding figures on the basis of the labour force structure of the country and exposure prevalences in the reference countries:

1) a figure based on exposure prevalence in Finland (FIN)
2) a figure based on exposure prevalence in the United States (USA)
3) a figure based on the mean prevalence of Finland and the United States (AVERAGE)
4) own national estimate (OWN), designated by the national assessor
5) the number of exposed is zero (ZERO)

One of the values was set as DEFAULT VALUE. The logic in the selection of default value was that the AVERAGE value was preferred. If either the Finnish or the US value was flagged with a warning (indicating low validity), the other was proposed as the default value. Because the US NOES Survey did not cover all agents included in CAREX, the Finnish value was proposed if the US value was missing. If both Finnish and US values were flagged, the AVERAGE value was used as the default value because most often the US prevalence was suspected to be too high and the Finnish value too low. The following table summarises the default values in CAREX:
Estimates of multiple exposure

If one worker is exposed to two agents, the number of exposed workers is one, but the number of exposures is two. The concept 'exposure' does not refer to the number of exposure events (e.g., 5 times/year) but to the qualitative occurrence of exposure of a worker.

The reason for distinguishing between exposure and exposed worker relates to the calculation of exposed workers in a CAREX-industry, or in a country. If we add up all exposures within an industry, we may be counting the same workers several times (in cases of multiple exposure) and end up with an overestimate. The CAREX estimation procedure addresses exposures (number of workers exposed to a specified agent). The number of exposures and that of the exposed workers is the same if there is only one carcinogenic exposure/worker within the CAREX-industry. The estimation of exposed workers in multiple exposure situation required the development of industry-specific factors (multipliers), which convert the numbers of exposures to those of the exposed workers. These 'multiple exposure factor' were derived in CAREX for the Finnish data only. They are based on the assessment of additivity of exposed subgroups. The US NOES data did not allow us to derive multiple exposure factors by CAREX industry.

Estimation procedure in Spain

The Spanish estimates are first (preliminary) estimates generated by the CAREX system and therefore not checked by a national expert. These estimates are adjusted for the economic structure/workforce distribution of Spain, but they do not take into account country-specific exposure patterns, which may deviate in Spain from those in the reference countries.
Results

The main results are presented in appendices of this report. **Appendix 1** shows the labour force structures in Spain and the EU. Forestry and logging, fishing, manufacture of petroleum and coal products, leather industry, manufacture of pottery and china, furniture industry, and coal mining employed in Spain proportionally over 50% more workers than in the EU on average. On the other hand, international organisations, welfare institutions, sanitary and similar services, manufacture of electrical machinery, manufacture of other chemicals, manufacture of professional goods, and communication employed less than 50% of the mean in the EU.

According to the preliminary estimates (**Appendix 2**), there were ca. 3.1 million workers (25% of the employed) exposed to the agents covered by CAREX in Spain in 1990-93. The number of exposures was ca. 4 million. These figures are rounded from calculatory estimates presented in Appendix 2. Because of uncertainty of the estimates, it is reasonable to round all figures in the following appendices to a precision of one or two integers only.

Occurrence of exposure to specific agents in different industries is described in **Appendix 3**. Some agents (e.g., research laboratory chemicals, certain pharmaceutical drugs) are used only in one or a few industries whereas some others (e.g., environmental tobacco smoke, solar radiation, silica dust, lead chromium VI, diesel exhaust, PAH and radon) are widely distributed across industries. Appendix 3 does not provide any estimates for such industry-agent combinations where both Finnish and U.S. prevalences were considered inappropriate for the estimation of exposure in Spain.

The total numbers of exposed workers by agent are presented in **Appendix 4**. The most common exposures in Spain (**Appendix 5**) were solar radiation (1 100 000 workers exposed at least 75% of working time), environmental tobacco smoke (700 000 workers exposed at least 75% of working time), crystalline silica (400 000 exposed), wood dust (400 000), radon and its decay products (280 000), diesel engine exhaust (270 000), lead and inorganic lead compounds (100 000), glasswool (90 000), benzene (90 000), and ethylene dibromide (80 000).
Discussion

The methodological strengths and weaknesses of the CAREX system have been discussed elsewhere (Kauppinen et al 1998). Briefly, the strengths of CAREX are its systematic nature, good coverage and ease of use. Although several means to improve validity and to facilitate the estimation process were adopted, validity of the estimates is still of concern. Possible sources of error include differences in the definition (inclusions and exclusions) of occupational exposure, omission of country-specific exposure patterns, varying validity of the reference data used, conversion difficulties of industrial classifications, and problems in the estimation of multiple exposures.

The total number of workers exposed to CAREX agents was very high. However, the level of exposure may have been so low for many exposed groups that it probably would not be possible to detect a carcinogenic risk by current epidemiological methods.

These data are preliminary and should therefore be interpreted with caution. The figures are standardised by the labour force structure of Spain but the exposure patterns specific to Spain, which may differ from those in the reference countries, have not been taken into account. Because of unknown validity of the estimates generated by the CAREX system, there is a need to continue this work at the national level.
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